UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,423	12/23/2004	Joseph Aferzon	1292.1236101	7576
	7590	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
	SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,423	AFERZON, JOSEPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES L. SWIGER	3775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ag	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,14,19,28,30,32,35 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-12,15-18,20-26 and 38 is/are rejection claim(s) 2,27,29,31,33,34 and 37 is/are objection claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 December 2004 is/are	5,36 and 39-41 is/are withdrawn forcted. ed to. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/2009; 12/23/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-38 and species including rotatable retainers, separate piercing arm, and arm being independently connected to the center pivot hinge, etc. in the reply filed on April 15, 2009 is acknowledged.

Claims 13-14, 19, 28, 30, 32, 35-36, 39-41 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species/invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 15, 2009.

Claim Objections

Claims 31 and 33 improperly depend from a withdrawn claim 30. For examination purposes, the claims will be examined as depending from claim 29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-12, 15-18, 20-27, 29, 31, 33-34, 37-38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3, 17 and 37 appear to require the content of parenthetical references which are not considered part of the claim. They are for additional clarification and support only. For example, in claim 3, extracting the parenthetical references (P1) and

Application/Control Number: 10/519,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 3775

P2) the claim reads: "further maintain a bore hole located at points and respectively." Thus the claim appears to be indefinite, and applicant is not clear as to what is being claimed. These same references are used at 17 and 37. It is suggested to use terminology consistent with applicant's specification and drawings to positively claim the points, if applicant wishes to do so, so that "P1" and "P2" can function in representative format only.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Justis et al. (US patent 6,530,929), hereafter Justis. Justis discloses a spinal support coupling device comprising a first (22a) and second (31a) radial frames (see fig. 1), that are connected at a center pivot hinge (32). It is noted that both frames are considered radial

Application/Control Number: 10/519,423 Page 4

Art Unit: 3775

as they are involved in a radial movement (dependent on R, Fig. 1) that enables the final positioning system to be set up. Justis further discloses a traveling arm (34) that is coupled to the frames via the hinge, and a coupling rod (90), attached to the traveling arm (at approx 33), and that is configured to connect a first and second surgical screw. It is noted that the first and second frames are also fully capable of retaining extension rods extending up from the surgical screw.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Justis '929. Justis discloses the claimed invention except for the device being made of certain materials such as stainless steel or titanium. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of an appropriate material for a surgical application such as steel or titanium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 27, 29, 31, 33-34, and 37 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES L. SWIGER whose telephone number is (571)272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-530.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Barrett can be reached on 571-272-4746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,423 Page 6

Art Unit: 3775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAMES L. SWIGER/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775 /Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775